





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,262	04/05/2001	John Gaskell	GRI 0024 PA	4350
75	90 04/08/2003			
Killworth, Gottman, Hagan & Schaeff, L.L.P. One Dayton Centre, Suite 500 Dayton, OH 45402-2023			EXAMINER	
			BROWN, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	$\overline{\Omega}$
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003	ď

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/827, 262 John Gaskell Examiner Group Art Unit Michael Brown 3764
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address —
Period for Reply	7
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status	
☐ R sponsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
/ 74.54	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
Of the above claim(s) □ Claim(s) 29 4 M 4 3 - 59 □ Claim(s) 3 ∘ - 4 2	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner r.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner r.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. nents have been received
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. nents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

S. Patent and Trademark Office	Part of Paner No.
Office Action Summa	ry
☐ Notice f Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Revi w, PTO-948	□ Other
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Informati n Disclosure Statem nt(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	☐ Int rvi w Summary, PTO-413
Atta hment(s)	
*Certified copies not received:	•
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a))
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been re	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in App	olication No
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	,
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119 (a)–(d).
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	·
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ a	pproved disapproved.
Claim(s)	requirement
·	
/ · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
□ Claim(s) 29 9 20 4 3 - 59	is/are allowed.
Of the above claim(s)	
\bigcirc Claim(s) $29-59$	
Disposition of Claims	
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal ma accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453	tters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in O.G. 213.
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ R sponsive to communication(s) filed on	·
Status	
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the start if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) No. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the analyzed and a communication of the start than three months after the mailing date of this term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. pplication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 29, 43-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kidd.

Kidd discloses in figures 1-7 a fastener comprising a locating 74, an adjustable connector 76, a first attachment member 64, a second attachment member 64, a complimentary connector 58 that is a lip, a hook disposed 76 on the connector, at least one extension member (one of the outer ends of 12), a first arch 12, a second arch 14 and a method of using the device.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 30-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,262

Art Unit: 3764

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 27, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not 4. persuasive. Applicant argues that the new claims positively recites the extension members. The examiner's concurs. However, an extension member was interpreted as the outer end of 12. Applicant argues that the attaching relationship between the extension members and the fasteners are more clearly recited. However, in claims 42-53, the extension members were not positively recited. Thus, no patentable weight was given to the extension members in these claims.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3764

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2682.

M. Brown April 7, 2003

> Michael A. Brown Primary Examiner

Michael q. Br